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Federal Cable Act

One of the stated purposes of the Cable Act is to:

“promote competition in cable communications and minimize unnecessary regulation that would impose an undue economic burden on cable systems.”

See 47 U.S.C. § 521 (6) – emphasis added
A franchising authority may award one or more franchises within its jurisdiction:

“except that a franchising authority may not grant an exclusive franchise and may not unreasonably refuse to award an additional competitive franchise.”

See 47 U.S.C. § 541 (a)(1) - emphasis added
- codified in the Cable Act as Section 621
Minnesota Statutes - Process

- Minn. Stat. § 238.081 Subd. 1 provides that the Commission must:
  - Publish once each week for two successive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation
    - a notice of intent to consider an application for a franchise.
  - Send notice to potential candidates
    - CenturyLink - Comcast
  - Provide an official application form
  - Follow the state statutory procedure
Franchise Application Timeline

- March 4, 2015 Commission considers publication of Notice of Intent to Franchise
- March 8 & 15, 2015 Commission publishes Notice of Intent to Franchise
  - once each week for 2 successive weeks in local newspaper
  - Notice also mailed directly to CenturyLink and Comcast
Franchise Application Timeline

- March 31, 2015 Closing date for submission of applications
  - must be at least 20 days from date of first publication of Notice
- April 1, 2015 Commission calls public hearing
  - Commission publishes Notice of Public Hearing
    - 10 to 14 days before conduct of hearing
- April 15, 2015 Commission conducts Public Hearing regarding Franchise Applications
  - Commission considers resolution regarding qualifications.
Franchise Application Timeline

- May-June 2015  Commission Consideration of Franchise Application
- May-July negotiations regarding franchise terms
  - with prospective applicant - CenturyLink
  - Draft renewal franchise with Comcast will serve as the “base document.”
Franchise Application Timeline

- July 2015 Commission considers award of Franchise to successful applicant(s)
  - must be at least 7 days after Public Hearing
  - no time limit on when action must be taken
- July-August, 2015 successful applicant(s) accepts franchise document
- New Grantee submits required closing documentation
  - typically within 30 days of grant of franchise
Minn. Stat. § 238.08 Subd. 1(b):

No municipality shall grant an additional franchise for cable service for an area included in an existing franchise on terms and conditions more favorable or less burdensome than those in the existing franchise pertaining to:

(1) the area served;
(2) public, educational, or governmental access requirements; or
(3) franchise fees.
Minn. Stat. § 238.084 Subd. 1(m)

Initial franchises must include a schedule showing:

- that construction throughout the authorized franchise area must be substantially completed within five years of the granting of the franchise
  - This requirement can be waived by the franchising authority only upon occurrence of unforeseen events or acts of God.
Federal Law – Build Out

- 12/20/06 FCC adopted
  - Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking implementing Section 621(a)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934.

- 621 Order

- FCC concluded
  - “that it is unlawful for LFAs to refuse to grant a competitive franchise on the basis of unreasonable build-out mandates.”
FCC Identified Build Out Mandates

**Unreasonable**

- Absent other factors, require a new competitive entrant to serve everyone in a franchise area before it has begun providing service to anyone.
- Require facilities-based entrants, such as incumbent LECs, to build out beyond the footprint of their existing facilities before they have even begun providing cable service.
- Absent other factors, require more of a new entrant than an incumbent cable operator by, for instance, requiring the new entrant to build out facilities in a shorter period of time than that originally afforded to the incumbent cable operator; or requiring the new entrant to build out and provide service to areas of lower density than those that the incumbent cable operator is required to build out to and serve.
- Require the new entrant to build out and provide service to buildings or developments to which the new entrant cannot obtain access on reasonable terms.
- Require new entrant to build out to certain areas or customers that the entrant cannot reach using standard technical solutions.
- Require the new entrant to build out and provide service to areas where it cannot obtain reasonable access to and use of the public rights of way.

**Reasonable**

- To consider the new entrant’s market penetration.
- To consider benchmarks requiring the new entrant to increase its build-out after a reasonable period of time had passed after initiating service and taking into account its market success.
State v Fed – Build out

- Minnesota law may not be consistent with the FCC’s interpretation in 621 Order.
- Inconsistency between the federal and state requirements raises the question of federal preemption.
FCC 621 Order

- Applies to actions or inactions at the local level where a state has not specifically circumscribed the LFAs authority and only addresses decisions made by municipal-level franchising authorities.
- Does not address any aspect of a LFAs decision-making to the extent that such aspect is specifically addressed by state law.
- The only items preempted by the 621 Order are local laws, regulations, practices, and requirements that conflict with the guidance adopted in the 621 Order and that are not specifically authorized by state law.
**FCC Order on Reconsideration**

- Adopted on Jan 21, 2015
- The FCC reiterated its position that its findings in the 621 Order were limited to the local level
- Question:
  - Are Minnesota statutory requirements preempted by Federal law and the FCC 621 Order?
- Award of cable franchise in Minnesota
  - Quasi-judicial
  - Weigh evidence before issuing decision
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